UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No 07 cv 11387 (DLC)

BRIAN N. LINES;
SCOTT G. S. LINES;
LOM (HOLDINGS) LTD.;
LINES OVERSEAS MANAGEMENT LTD.;
LOM CAPITAL LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (BERMUDA) LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (CAYMAN) LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (BAHAMAS) LTD.;
ANTHONY W. WILE;
WAYNE E. WILE;
ROBERT J. CHAPMAN;
WILLIAM TODD PEEVER;
PHILLIP JAMES CURTIS; AND
RYAN G. LEEDS,

Defendants.

MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE OF DAVID WILLIAMS AND MEMORANDUM OF SUPPORT

Pursuant to Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 1.3(c) Plaintiff
Securities and Exchange Commission, through its counsel, moves for admission *Pro Hac Vice* of David
Williams to represent the Commission in the above-referenced case.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

This motion should be granted for the reasons set forth below:

- 1. David Williams was admitted to practice law in the state of California on December 2, 1996, and he remains in good standing in that jurisdiction. (Declaration of David Williams In Support Of Motion For Admission *Pro Hac Vice*, paragraph 3, dated January 3, 2008) ("Williams Decl.").
- 2. Mr. Williams is an attorney in the Washington D.C. office of Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission. (Williams Decl., paragraph 1).
- 3. Mr. Williams has never been held in contempt of court, never been censured, suspended, disbarred or disciplined by any court. (Williams Decl., paragraph 4).
- 4. Mr. Williams has attached to his Declaration a certificate of good standing from the state of California.

For these reasons, the Court should grant this motion and issue the attached proposed Order.

Dated: January 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Mark A. Adler (MA-8703)

Attorney for Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange Commission

Division of Enforcement

100 F Street, N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20549-4030

Telephone: (202) 551-4402

adlerma@sec.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 07 cv 11387 (DLC)

BRIAN N. LINES;
SCOTT G. S. LINES;
LOM (HOLDINGS) LTD.;
LINES OVERSEAS MANAGEMENT LTD.;
LOM CAPITAL LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (BERMUDA) LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (CAYMAN) LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (BAHAMAS) LTD.;
ANTHONY W. WILE;
WAYNE E. WILE;
ROBERT J. CHAPMAN;
WILLIAM TODD PEEVER;
PHILLIP JAMES CURTIS; AND
RYAN G. LEEDS,

Defendants.

<u>DECLARATION OF DAVID WILLIAMS</u> IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE*

- I, A. David Williams, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, declare as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney in the Washington D.C. office of Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission.
- 2. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice.
- 3. I was admitted to practice law in the state of California on December 2, 1996.
- 4. I am in good standing in this jurisdiction and have never been held in contempt of court, never been censured, suspended, disbarred or disciplined by any court.

5. In addition to submitting this Declaration, I am forwarding to the Court a certificate of good standing from the state of California.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements made by me are true and correct.

A. David Williams

Executed on January 3, 2008 Washington, D.C.

MEMBER SERVICES CENTER

180 HOWARD STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-1639

TELEPHONE: 888-800-3400

April 30, 2008

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is to certify that according to the records of the State Bar, ALVIN DAVID WILLIAMS, #183854 was admitted to the practice of law in this state by the Supreme Court of California on December 2, 1996; and has been since that date, and is at date hereof, an ACTIVE member of the State Bar of California; and that no recommendation for discipline for professional or other misconduct has ever been made by the Board of Governors or a Disciplinary Board to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

Kath Lambert

Custodian of Membership Records

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No 07 cv 11387 (DLC)

BRIAN N. LINES;
SCOTT G. S. LINES;
LOM (HOLDINGS) LTD.;
LINES OVERSEAS MANAGEMENT LTD.;
LOM CAPITAL LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (BERMUDA) LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (CAYMAN) LTD.;
LOM SECURITIES (BAHAMAS) LTD.;
ANTHONY W. WILE;
WAYNE E. WILE;
ROBERT J. CHAPMAN;
WILLIAM TODD PEEVER;
PHILLIP JAMES CURTIS; AND
RYAN G. LEEDS,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE OF DAVID WILLIAMS ON WRITTEN MOTION

Upon the motion of attorney for and said sponsor attorney's affidavit in support;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

David Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff
Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Enforcement
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549-4030
Telephone: (202) 551-4402
williamsdav@sec.gov

is admitted to practice pro hac vice as counsel for in the above captioned case in the United States

District Court for the Southern District of New York. All attorneys appearing before this Court are
subject to the Local Rules of this Court, including the Rules governing discipline of attorneys. If this
action is assigned to the Electronic Case Filing (ECF) system, counsel shall immediately apply for an
ECF password at nysd.uscourts.gov.

Counsel shall forward the pro hac vice fee to the Clerk of Court.

Dated:	
	United States District Judge

(Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO:	David Williams		
	(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY	OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
I,		S (CAYMAN) LTD.	, acknowledge receipt of your request
	()	DEFENDANT NAME)	
hat I waive	e service of summons in	the action of SE	C v. Brian Lines, et al. (CAPTION OF ACTION)
which is ca	ase number 07 c	v 11387 (DOCKET NUMBER)	in the United States District Court
for the	Southern	District of	New York
r venue of	f the court except for ob	ections based on a defect in	defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction the summons or in the service of the summons.
I under	rstand that a judgment n	nay be entered against me (or	the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an
nswer or r	notion under Rule 12 is	not served upon you within	
r within 9	0 days after that date if	he request was sent outside t	the United States.
Fubn	(DATE)	Printed/Typed Name: R As Common to Title	(SIGNATURE) ETD 11. FIGEL of LOM Scrunitics (layman)
		(TITLE	(CORPORATE DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

SAO 399

(Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: David Williams			• .	
(N/	ME OF PLAINTIFF'	S ATTORNEY (OR UNREPRESENTED	PLAINTIFF)
I, LOM SECURITIES		LTD.	, ack	nowledge receipt of your request
(DE	FENDANT NAME)			•
that I waive service of summons in the	ne action of	SEC		ines, et al. ON OF ACTION),
which is case number 07 cv	11387		in	the United States District Court
	(DOCKET)	,		
for the Southern	I	District of	New York	•
I agree to save the cost of service that I (or the entity on whose behalf)	out cost to me. of a summons an am acting) be s	d an addition erved with j	nal copy of the con udicial process in	etrument, and a means by which I can applaint in this lawsuit by not requiring the manner provided by Rule 4.
or venue of the court except for object	ctions based on a	a defect in th	e summons or in	the service of the summons.
I understand that a judgment ma	y be entered aga	inst me (or t	he party on whose	e behalf I am acting) if an
answer or motion under Rule 12 is no	ot served upon y		days after	January 11, 2008, (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
or within 90 days after that date if the	e request was ser	nt outside th	e United States.	
February 15, 2008	Rugy	il	(SIGNATUR	E)
	Printed/Typed	Name: RE	DD M. AGE	<u> </u>
	As Conn	ul to	of	(CORPORATE DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

SAO 399

(Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

	liams	
	(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTOR)	NEY OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
I, LOM SECU	JRITIES (BAHAMAS) LTD.	, acknowledge receipt of your request
	(DEFENDANT NAME)	·
hat I waive service of sum	nmons in the action of	GEC v. Brian Lines, et al. (CAPTION OF ACTION)
which is case number	07 cv 11387	in the United States District Court
vinich is case number _	(DOCKET NUMBER)	
or the Southern	District	of New York
T / (1 (*) 1	L-L-If I am a atima) will matain a	
or venue of the court exce	pt for objections based on a defect	Il defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction in the summons or in the service of the summons. (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an
r venue of the court exce	pt for objections based on a defect dgment may be entered against me	in the summons or in the service of the summons. (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an
or venue of the court exce	pt for objections based on a defect dgment may be entered against me	in the summons or in the service of the summons. (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an
or venue of the court exce I understand that a jud answer or motion under R	pt for objections based on a defect dgment may be entered against me	in the summons or in the service of the summons. (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an in 60 days after January 11, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

№AO 399

(Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: David Williams	<u> </u>	
(NAME C	OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR UNF	REPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
I, LOM CAPITAL LTD.	ANT NAME)	, acknowledge receipt of your request
that I waive service of summons in the ac	etion of SEC v.	Brian Lines, et al. (CAPTION OF ACTION)
which is case number 07 cv 11	.387 (DOCKET NUMBER)	in the United States District Court
for the Southern	,	ew York
that I (or the entity on whose behalf I am I (or the entity on whose behalf I am	summons and an additional co acting) be served with judicia acting) will retain all defense	py of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring all process in the manner provided by Rule 4. s or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction
	entered against me (or the pa	arty on whose behalf I am acting) if an
answer or motion under Rule 12 is not se	rved upon you -within 60 days	January 11, 2008, (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
or within 90 days after that date if the rec	quest was sent outside the Uni	ited States.
(DATE)	rinted/Typed Name: RE7D as Comment to	of Low Capital Lth (CORPORATE DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

SAO 399

(Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

TO: David Williams		
(NA	ME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY	OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
1,	MANAGEMENT LTD.	, acknowledge receipt of your request
that I waive service of summons in th	e action of SEC	C v. Brian Lines, et al. (CAPTION OF ACTION)
which is case number 07 CV	11387 (DOCKET NUMBER)	in the United States District Court
for the Southern	District of	New York .
I have also received a copy of the return the signed waiver to you witho	-	vo copies of this instrument, and a means by which I can
		onal copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.
		efenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction he summons or in the service of the summons.
• • •	-	the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an
answer or motion under Rule 12 is no	t served upon you within 6	O days after January 11, 2008, (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
or within 90 days after that date if the	request was sent outside th	ne United States.
February 15, 2008	Rufaire	(SIGNATURE)
	Printed/Typed Name:	10 M. PIGEL
	As <u>Comful to</u>	of LINES DVERSEAS MANABONE (CORPORATE DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

©AO 399 (Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

Page 13 of 14

TO: David Williams
(NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR UNREPRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
I, LOM (HOLDINGS) LTD, acknowledge receipt of your request,
that I waive service of summons in the action of SEC v. Brian Lines, et al. (CAPTION OF ACTION)
which is case number 07 CV 11387 in the United States District Court (DOCKET NUMBER)
for the Southern District of New York .
I have also received a copy of the complaint in the action, two copies of this instrument, and a means by which I car return the signed waiver to you without cost to me.
I agree to save the cost of service of a summons and an additional copy of the complaint in this lawsuit by not requiring that I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) be served with judicial process in the manner provided by Rule 4.
I (or the entity on whose behalf I am acting) will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.
I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an
answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon you within 60 days after January 11, 2008, (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
within 90 days after that date if the request was sent outside the United States.
February 15, 2008 Printed/Typed Name: RED M. FIBEL And A State of the Control of
As CONTROL OF CORPORATE DEFENDANT) OF CORPORATE DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

AO 399 (Rev. 10/95)

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

IO:	David Willia	ins	
	(NAME OF	PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY OR UNRE	PRESENTED PLAINTIFF)
I, Brian Lines	(DEFENDA	NT NAME)	, acknowledge receipt of your request
hat I waive service of	f summons in the acti	ion of SEC	C v. Brian Lines, et al.
which is case number		07 cv 11387 (DOCKET NUMBER)	in the United States District Court
for the	Southern	District of	New York .
hat I (or the entity on I (or the entity on	whose behalf I am a	cting) be served with judicial cting) will retain all defenses	process in the manner provided by Rule 4.
I (or the entity on r venue of the court	whose behalf I am a whose behalf I am a except for objections	cting) be served with judicial cting) will retain all defenses based on a defect in the sumr	process in the manner provided by Rule 4. or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction
I (or the entity on r venue of the court I understand that	whose behalf I am a whose behalf I am a except for objections a judgment may be e	cting) be served with judicial cting) will retain all defenses based on a defect in the sumr	process in the manner provided by Rule 4. or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction mons or in the service of the summons. ty on whose behalf I am acting) if an
I (or the entity on I (or the entity on or venue of the court I understand that mswer or motion und	whose behalf I am a whose behalf I am a except for objections a judgment may be e er Rule 12 is not serv	cting) be served with judicial cting) will retain all defenses based on a defect in the summentered against me (or the part	process in the manner provided by Rule 4. or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction mons or in the service of the summons. ty on whose behalf I am acting) if an after January 25, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)
I (or the entity on I (or the entity on or venue of the court I understand that mswer or motion und	whose behalf I am a whose behalf I am a except for objections a judgment may be e er Rule 12 is not server that date if the requ	cting) be served with judicial cting) will retain all defenses that a defect in the summentered against me (or the part wed upon you within 60 days a	process in the manner provided by Rule 4. or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction mons or in the service of the summons. ty on whose behalf I am acting) if an after
I (or the entity on I (or the entity on or venue of the court I understand that mswer or motion und or within 90 days afte	whose behalf I am a whose behalf I am a except for objections a judgment may be eler Rule 12 is not server that date if the requirements	cting) be served with judicial cting) will retain all defenses that a defect in the summentered against me (or the part wed upon you within 60 days a	or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction mons or in the service of the summons. Ty on whose behalf I am acting) if an after January 25, 2008 (DATE REQUEST WAS SENT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.